Estimated Total Annual (Non-hour) Respondent Cost Burden: $42,650,873.51.

There are no capital start-up, maintenance, or recordkeeping costs associated with this information collection. However, this collection does have annual (non-hour) cost burden in the form of postage costs and filing fees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC No.</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Estimated annual responses</th>
<th>Filing fee ($)</th>
<th>Total non-hour cost Burden ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Statement of Use/ Amendment to Alleged Use) (Paper).</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Statement of Use/ Amendment to Alleged Use) (TEAS).</td>
<td>109,086</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10,908,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use (Paper).</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>13,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use (TEAS).</td>
<td>234,906</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>29,363,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to Respond Timely to Office Action (Paper).</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to Respond Timely to Office Action (TEAS).</td>
<td>19,545</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,954,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to File Timely Statement of Use or Extension Request (Paper).</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to File Timely Statement of Use or Extension Request (TEAS).</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Request to Divide Application (Paper)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Request to Divide Application (TEAS Global)</td>
<td>3,057</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>305,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Petition to the Director Under Trademark Rule 2.146 (Paper).</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Petition to the Director Under Trademark Rule 2.146 (TEAS Global).</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Petition to Revive with Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis or to Delete ITU Goods/Services After NOA (Paper).</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Petition to Revive with Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis or to Delete ITU Goods/Services After NOA (TEAS Global).</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>374,891</td>
<td></td>
<td>42,650,825</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, the USPTO estimates that the total annual (non-hour) cost burden for this collection, in the form of postage costs and filing fees is $42,650,873.51 per year.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Comments are invited on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility;

(b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information;

(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Marcie Lovett,
Records and Information Governance Division Director, OCTO, United States Patent and Trademark Office.

ACTION: Call for written third-party comments.

SUMMARY: This notice provides information to members of the public on submitting written comments for accrediting agencies currently undergoing review for purposes of recognition by the U.S. Secretary of Education.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Herman Bounds, Director, Accreditation Group, Office of Postsecondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 6C115, Washington, DC 20202, telephone: (202) 453–7615, or email: herman.bounds@ed.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NACIQI’s Statutory Authority and Function: The National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity (NACIQI) is established under Section 114 of the Higher Education Act.
midwifery education programs, including those programs that offer distance education in the United States.

3. American Physical Therapy Association, Commission on Accreditation in Physical Therapy Education, Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidate for Accreditation") of the United States of physical therapist education programs leading to the first professional degree at the master's or doctoral level and physical therapist assistant education programs at the associate degree level and for its accreditation of such programs offered via distance education.

4. Middle States Commission on Higher Education, Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidate for Accreditation") of degree-granting institutions of higher education in Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, including distance and correspondence education programs offered at those institutions.

5. Higher Learning Commission, Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidate for Accreditation") of degree-granting institutions of higher education in Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming, including the tribal institutions and the accreditation of programs offered via distance education and correspondence education within these institutions. This recognition extends to the Institutional Actions Council jointly with the Board of Trustees of the Commission for decisions on cases for continued accreditation or reaffirmation, and continued candidacy, and to the Appeals Body jointly with the Board of Trustees of the Commission for decisions related to initial candidacy or accreditation or reaffirmation of accreditation.

6. New England Association of Schools and Colleges, Commission on Institutions of Higher Education, Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidacy status") of institutions of higher education in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont that award bachelor's, master's, and/or doctoral degrees and associate degree-granting institutions in those states that include degrees in liberal professional fields among their offerings, including the accreditation of programs offered via distance education within these institutions.

7. New York State Board of Regents, and the Commissioner of Education, Scope of Recognition: The accreditation of those degree-granting institutions of higher education in New York that designate the agency as their sole or primary nationally recognized accrediting agency for purposes of establishing eligibility to participate in HEA programs including accreditation of programs offered via distance education within those institutions.

8. Western Association of Schools and Colleges, Senior Colleges and University Commission, Scope of Recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidate for Accreditation") of senior colleges and universities in California, Hawaii, the United States territories of Guam and American Samoa, the Republic of Palau, the Federated States of Micronesia, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands and the Republic of the Marshall Islands, including distance education programs offered at those institutions.

Compliance Report:
1. American Board of Funeral Service Education, Committee on Accreditation, Compliance report includes the following: (1) Findings identified in the March 10, 2016 letter from the senior Department official following the December 2015 NACIQI meeting available at: https://opeweb.ed.gov/aslweb/finalstaffreports.cfm, and (2) Review under 34 CFR 602.15(a)(6), 602.20(b), and 602.20(f)(5).

Scope of recognition:
- The accreditation of Montessori teacher education institutions and programs within the United States awarding diplomas, associate degrees and bachelor's degrees in funeral service or mortuary science, including the accreditation of distance learning courses and programs offered by these programs and institutions.
- The accreditation of Montessori teacher education institutions and programs through the United States, including those offered via distance education.

Midwifery Education Accreditation Council, Compliance report includes the following: (1) Findings identified in the March 10, 2016 letter from the senior Department official following the December 2015 NACIQI meeting available at: https://opeweb.ed.gov/aslweb/finalstaffreports.cfm, and (2) Review under 34 CFR 602.17(f), 602.19(b), and 602.20(b).

Scope of recognition:
- The accreditation of Montessori teacher education institutions and programs throughout the United States, including those offered via distance education.

Midwifery Education Accreditation Council, Compliance report includes the following: (1) Findings identified in the March 10, 2016 letter from the senior Department official following the
ThirdPartyComments@ed.gov mailbox and include the subject line “Written Comments (agency name).” The email must include the name(s), title, organization/affiliation, mailing address, email address, and telephone number of the person(s) making the comment. Comments should be submitted as a Microsoft Word document or in a medium compatible with Microsoft Word (not a PDF file) that is attached to an electronic mail message (email) or provided in the body of an email message. Comments about an agency’s recognition after review of a compliance report must relate to issues identified in the compliance report and the criteria for recognition cited in the senior Department official’s letter that requested the report, or in the Secretary’s appeals decision, if any. Comments about the renewal of an agency’s recognition based on a review of the agency’s petition must relate to its compliance with the Criteria for the Recognition of Accrediting Agencies, or the Criteria and Procedures for Recognition of State Agencies for Approval of Nurse Education as appropriate, which are available at http://www.ed.gov/admins/finaid/accred/index.html.

Only material submitted by the deadline to the email address listed in this notice, and in accordance with these instructions, become part of the official record concerning agencies scheduled for review and are considered by the Department and NACIQI in their deliberations.

Electronic Access to this Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System available via the Federal Digital System (FDSYS). You may view this document, as well as all other documents of the Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF, you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site. You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy


ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is announcing the availability of a Preliminary Energy Savings Analysis of ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1–2016 (Preliminary Analysis). DOE welcomes written comments from interested parties on any subject within the scope of this Preliminary Analysis.

DATES: DOE will accept written comments and information on the Preliminary Analysis no later than September 8, 2017.


Any comments submitted must provide docket number EERE–2017–BT–DET–0046. Comments may be submitted using any of the following methods:


2. Email: 2016ASHRAEStandard2017DET0046@ee.doe.gov. Include the docket number in the subject line of the message.

3. Postal Mail: Building Energy Codes Program, U.S. Department of Energy, Building Technologies Office, EE–5B, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585–0121. If possible, please submit all items on a CD, in which case it is not necessary to include printed copies.